Short-term Cardio-Vascular Risk Score Changes in Type 2 Diabetic Patients on Empaglifozin: A Real-Life Clinical Experience
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ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of the study was to test both efficacy and safety of empaglifozin in type 2 diabetic out patients followed-up within a single institution.

Materials and Methods: One hundred seventy-eight consecutive patients treated with empaglifozin for 6 months were compared to 356 fully matched control patients on alternative drugs: side effects were monitored and changes from baseline were evaluated for body weight, body mass index (BMI), blood pressure, circulating glucose, HbA1c, uric acid, triglycerides, total, high density lipoprotein (HDL) and low density lipoprotein (LDL)-cholesterol levels, as well as creatinine clearance rate. The 10-year Cardiovascular Risk score (10-y CV-RS) was also assessed in all patients according to the cryogenic underground observatory for rare events (CUORE) calculation charts. Weight, BMI, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, as well as, HbA1c, glucose, cholesterol, triglyceride and uric acid levels, significantly decreased after treatment, along with the 10-y CV-RS which is in fact strongly related to the above.

Results: Virtually no changes were observed in creatinine clearance rate and HDL levels. 45% patients reported polyuria, 15% genital itching, 8% genital infections, 12% urinary tract infections, no volume depletion or keto-acidosis episodes were described.

Conclusion: In conclusion, in diabetic patients experiencing no previous major cardiovascular events, empaglifozin significantly improved all cardiovascular risk factors, including glucose control, and the 10-y CV-RS. Moreover it was well tolerated, so that no treatment discontinuation was needed even in case of urinary tract infections.

KEY WORDS: Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM); Cardiovascular risk; Cardiovascular risk (CVR); SGLT2i; Empaglifozin; Adverse effects.

ABBREVIATIONS: CV: Cardiovascular; T2DM: Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus; SGLT2i: Sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors; CVD: Cardiovascular disease; CHD: Coronary Heart Disease; CVE: Cerebrovascular events; PAD: Peripheral Arterial Disease; HF: Heart Failure.
INTRODUCTION

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a progressive disease eventually leading to microvascular and cardiomyocvascular complications and to their consequent ever increasing economic burden.

Sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) are new oral antidiabetic medications recently approved for the treatment of T2DM.\textsuperscript{14} They enhance urinary glucose output and, through this mechanism, are known to significantly improve glycemic control.\textsuperscript{5-7} and to cause both weight and fat mass loss.\textsuperscript{8,9} Moreover, SGLT2 may add additional beneficial effects through decreasing systolic and diastolic blood pressure.\textsuperscript{10} They are well-tolerated and mostly cause only mild adverse effects,\textsuperscript{9} one of which, exacerbated by glycosuria, is represented by possible recurrent genitourinary infections.\textsuperscript{11,12}

Empagliflozin is a highly selective SGLT2i improving glycemic control in patients with T2DM both per se and when added to metformin, sulfonylurea, or insulin.\textsuperscript{13-15} In the Empa-Reg outcome study, when added to standard care in patients with T2DM and a high cardiovascular (CV) risk, empagliflozin reduced CV events, mortality and hospitalization as compared to placebo during a 48 month observation-period [Empa-Reg outcome].\textsuperscript{14} Efficacy data reported so far for SGLT2 is derived from randomized clinical trials, while those from “clinical practice” are still missing.

Due to that, some of the most common questions posed by patients at the time of diagnosis, i.e. “Will my disease be worse?” or “Will I get worse?”, in real life get highly variable answers by their doctors, who mostly talk about their own experience and, even when referring to large randomized controlled trials (RCTs), can only base their predictions on forecast risks derived from relatively short-duration (4 years at most) validated data.

The aim of our study was to verify the short-term efficacy and safety of empagliflozin under real life conditions in an attempt to provide appropriate answers to the above mentioned questions. To achieve that we evaluated our diabetic patients for 6-month changes in their metabolically relevant parameters and to their consequent ever increasing economic burden.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

The present report is an observational study carried out in an outpatient setting. We analyzed a series of patients with T2DM followed-up by a network of 10 outpatient diabetes centers (DCs) from AID (Associazione Italiana Diabete) participating in the so called Associazione Medici Diabetologi (AMD) Annals Initiative, all having the same organization structure and previously documented to attain the same performance levels and therefore considered to be a single institution.\textsuperscript{20} Participating DCs adopted the same software for everyday outpatient management. A dedicated software package allowed data extraction for further analysis (AMD Data File).

The latter, in fact, could import information exclusively from patients previously signing an informed consent to data anonymous utilization for better diabetes control and improved quality of life (QoL). All DCs received their local Ethics Committee approval for database utilization and the study conformed to the Helsinki Declaration.

The diagnosis of type 2 diabetes was made/confirmed within each participating DC according to criteria defined by the ADA Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes 2014.\textsuperscript{21} The International Classification of Diseases, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM, V82.9 2014) was used to define T2DM diagnosis and comorbidities/complications.\textsuperscript{22}

The DC network recorded blood pressure (BP), glucose, HbA1c, total, low-density lipoprotein and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (TC, LDL-C and HDL-C, respectively), triglycerides (Tg) and serum uric acid (UA) as measured by high standard auto-analyzers in public laboratories successfully participating in nationwide quality control programs. All electro-medical devices used to evaluate patients were certified and periodically validated in accordance with the International Standards Organization (ISO) directive.\textsuperscript{23}

Kidney function was assessed by both serum creatinine and urinary albumin excretion rate measurements. Estimated creatinine clearance rate (i.e., glomerular filtration rate, estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was equation,\textsuperscript{24} calculated for each patient based on a standardized serum creatinine assay and the chronic kidney disease epidemiology collaboration (CKD-EPI). Only patients having at least one serum creatinine measurement and concordant eGFR values during the last 3 months were included in the study.

Inclusion criteria were: HbA1c higher than 7% despite metformin at maximal tolerated dosage and/or insulin therapy. BMI>25 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}, 35<age<69yrs, eGFR>60 ml/min/1.73m\textsuperscript{2}.

Exclusion criteria were: a history of recurrent urinary tract infections or previous urinary or pelvic surgery, poor adherence to therapy, severe liver disease, kidney failure, neoplasms, steroid therapy, previous cardiovascular events and clinical conditions favoring ketoacidosis.

The 7.0% (53 mmol/mol) HbA1c cut off was chosen according to both the algorithm by Ceriello et al\textsuperscript{25} and ADA’s “Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes”, both meant at reducing the incidence of microvascular diseases without increasing the risk for hypoglycemia.\textsuperscript{21} Less stringent HbA1c targets,\textsuperscript{26-28}
which would have been appropriate for specific, mostly fragile, populations were not taken into account, because, as stated above, critically-ill people or those with recent cardiovascular events or with rapidly progressing clinical conditions were not included in the study.

One hundred seventy-eight T2DM patients consecutively referring to the DCs and receiving add-on treatment with empagliflozin 10 mg (Treatment Group: TG) were enrolled. Empagliflozin 10 mg was added to metformin and/or insulin therapy according to prescribing criteria defined by the Italian Drug Agency (AIFA). As reference group 356 subjects with similar general clinical characteristics who were not taking empagliflozin were also enrolled in a 1:2 ratio, treatment versus control, respectively (Control Group: CG). All patients were followed-up for 6 months.

They were assessed for the above mentioned blood chemistry parameters, body weight (BW), body mass index (BMI), blood pressure, and eGFR at baseline as well as after 3 and 6 months. Drug safety and tolerability was also monitored in terms of aspartate aminotransferase (AST) (U/l), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) (U/l), γ-glutamyltransferase (γ-GT) (U/l), total bilirubin (mg/dL), alkaline phosphatase (U/l), eGFR (ml/min/khm²), urea mg/dL (mg/dL), micro-macro-hematuria, cylindria, keturia, urinary tract infections, genital infections, polyuria, other infectious diseases for safety and of asthenia, headache, nausea/vomiting, other gastrointestinal disorders, allergic reactions, mild or severe hypoglycemia for tolerability.

The individual 10-y CVRs was assessed using the CUORE project calculator. The CUORE project was an epidemiological and ischemic heart disease prevention project launched in 1998. This score enables us to estimate the probability of experiencing any CV events (mainly myocardial infarction or stroke) for the first time over the next 10 years based on eight CV risk factors including age, gender, systolic BP (SBP), TC, HDL-C, diabetes mellitus, smoking habit and use of antihypertensive medications. It was validated in patients 35 to 69 years of age without previous major cardiovascular accidents. It cannot be used in case of extreme risk factor values, including systolic blood pressure (SBP) higher than 200 mmHg or less than 90 mmHg, TC higher than 320 mg/dL or less than 130 mg/dL, HDL less than 20 mg/dL or higher than 100 mg/dL.

Statistical Analysis

Data are presented as mean values±standard deviation (M±SD). Categorical variables are given as frequencies and percentages. Repeated measures ANOVA was applied for intergroup and intragroup comparisons at the three chosen time points. p values <0.05 were taken as statistically significant. All analyses were performed using the STATA software, version 14 (Stata-Corp LP, College Station, Tex).

RESULTS

Clinical features of the whole study cohort population are reported in Table 1.
Median age of investigated patients was 60 years in both the TG and the CG (interquartile (IQ) range: 41-68 and 40-47 years, respectively), 42.6% and 44.1% patients were male, respectively, and median diabetes duration was 9.2 and 9.4 years, respectively (IQ range: 3-14 and 4-13 years, respectively). Median BMI was 30.6 and 30.4 kg/m² (IQ range 26.8-44.1 and 27.0-43.9 kg/m², respectively). Glycemic control was poor, being median HbA1c>8.5% in both target group (TG) and control group (CG), with a fasting glucose level around 190 mg/dL, whereas lipid parameters and BP levels were moderately good, being LDL-C 103 and 107 mg/dL, respectively, and mean BP close to 135/80 mmHg in both groups. Median eGFR was 90 ml/min/1.73 m² (IQ range 68-102 in both groups). In Table 1 also clinical characteristics are described including smoking habits, microvascular complications, use of aspirin, and lipid lowering or antihypertensive drugs.

**Effect of Empagliflozin Treatment**

No patients on empagliflozin added to metformin and/or insulin dropped off. Table 2 describes the effects of treatment.

### Table 2: Parameter Changes within the Two Groups during the Follow-up Period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Treatment Group (n. 178)</th>
<th>Control group (n. 356)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline T0</td>
<td>3 months T3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>88.4±15.3</td>
<td>85.7±14.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>31.7±3.8</td>
<td>30.7±3.6**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb1Ac (%)</td>
<td>8.7±0.8</td>
<td>7.8±1.0**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPG (mg/dl)</td>
<td>191.7±40.5</td>
<td>143.1±2.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>134.2±10.9</td>
<td>126.7±6.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>81.7±7.03</td>
<td>77.8±5.3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TChol (mg/dl)</td>
<td>179.3±36.4</td>
<td>175.6±24.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mg/dl)</td>
<td>43.9±8.9</td>
<td>46.3±6.9**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C (mg/dl)</td>
<td>103.2±29.5</td>
<td>100.4±27.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dl)</td>
<td>165.9±61.4</td>
<td>144.3±49.2**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric acid (mg/dl)</td>
<td>5.4±1.0</td>
<td>5.3±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (ml/min/1.73m²)</td>
<td>90.1±17.7</td>
<td>90.5±16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-y CV-RS</td>
<td>12.7±10.2</td>
<td>10.6±7.6*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>*p<0.01 vs. T0; †p<0.01 vs. T3; ‡p<0.05 vs. T0; §p<0.05 vs. T3; ¶p<0.05 vs. T3;</sup>

<sup>% Δ = percent difference observed between T6 and T0.</sup>

<sup>10-y CV-RS = 10 year individual cardiovascular risk score.</sup>
Significant differences were observed in all biochemical and clinical parameters between control and empaglifozin groups. The latter displayed a significant and progressive improvement in all parameters with a rapid decrease in fasting blood glucose and HbA1c as shown in Figures 1 and 2, respectively.

BW changes are reported in greater detail in Figure 3, describing TG patients after splitting into metformin-only-treated and insulin-treated (with or without metformin) subgroups: both of them showed a significant BW decrease despite higher levels being consistently attained in the latter.

Figure 4 clearly shows significant differences \( (p<0.01) \) between TG and CG as compared to baseline in percent improvement of almost all investigated parameters, with the biggest differences involving fasting blood glucose \((-32.4\% \text{ vs } -4.3\% \text{ in CG, respectively})\), HbA1c \((-13.9\% \text{ vs } -1.5\%, \text{ respectively})\), Tg \((-17.5\% \text{ vs } +2.2\%, \text{ respectively})\), LDL-C \((-12.9\% \text{ vs } +2.3\%, \text{ respectively})\).

10-year CV Risk Score

At baseline the 10-y CV-RS score was 12.6±10.2 in the TG (Table 2 and Figure 5) and 12.5±9.9 in the CG (n.s.). After the 6-month follow-up a significant decrease was observed \((-18.2\%, \ p<0.01)\) in the TG versus an only slight decrease in the CG \((-2.2\%, \text{n.s.}) \text{(Figure 4).}\)

Table 3 describes the results of the multivariate Cox regression analysis: systolic BP and antihypertensive treatment

---

Table 3: Results of the Multivariate Cox Regression Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>TG</th>
<th>CG</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antihypertensive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 1**: Comparison of Fasting Plasma Glucose (mg/dL) in the Treatment Group (TG) versus the Control Group (CG). *=p<0.01.

**Figure 2**: Comparison of HbA1c (%) in the Treatment Group (TG) versus the Control Group (CG). *=p<0.01.

**Figure 3**: Body Weight Changes in Insulin Treated Patients (with or without metformin; Insulin +) as Compared to Those on Metformin only (Insulin -) within the Treatment Group (empaglifozin 10 mg/day).

**Figure 4**: Changes in Clinical Parameters from Baseline in Treatment Group (TG) Compared with Control Group (CG). All the differences were Statistically Significant \( (p<0.01) \).
Table 3: Multivariate Cox Regression Analysis of all Parameters Contributing to the Final 10-y CV-RS (Individual 10-y Cardiovascular risk score in our Population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Hazard Ratio</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>1.085</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.090</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>1.363</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>0.981</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cholesterol</td>
<td>1.247</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C</td>
<td>1.121</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP</td>
<td>1.395</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antihypertensive Treatment</td>
<td>1.424</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

were identified as major contributors to the individual 10-y CV-RS.

In greater detail, Figure 6 describes 10-y CV-RS changes in non-hypertensive and hypertensive TG patients. A significant difference (p<0.01) was detected between them at baseline and at the end of follow-up, but, unlike the others, non-hypertensive subjects displayed only slight, non-significant intragroup changes.

A similar result was observed when comparing insulin-treated to metformin-treated subjects (Table 4), who in fact displayed a significantly lower 10-y CV-RS than the others (p<0.01), being anyway a significant improvement observed (p<0.01) in both groups at the end of follow-up.

Safety Parameters and Side Effects

The upper part of Table 5 summarizes the results concerning safety parameters in the TG group: no significant differences were observed versus baseline in AST, ALS, γ-GT, total bilirubin, and blood urea; a similar behavior was observed for CG (not shown).

Unexpectedly, however, as seen in Figure 4 eGFR si-
Table 4: Comparison between the 10-y CV-RS (10 Year individual Cardiovascular Risk Score) in Empagliflozin Treated T2DM Subjects on Insulin with or without Metformin (Insulin +) or on Metformin alone (Insulin -) at Baseline (T0) and 6 Months (T6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T0</th>
<th>T6</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insulin +</td>
<td>14.1±2.4</td>
<td>11.4±1.14</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin -</td>
<td>9.7±1.9</td>
<td>7.8±1.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Safety Parameters and/or Adverse Effects in the Treatment Group. Data are Presented as Mean±SD or Number/Percent of Subject (%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T6</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AST (U/l)</td>
<td>26±3</td>
<td>26±4</td>
<td>22±4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (U/l)</td>
<td>24±4</td>
<td>25±3</td>
<td>24±4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-GT (U/l)</td>
<td>22±8</td>
<td>22±9</td>
<td>21±6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Bilirubin (mg/dl)</td>
<td>0.9±0.3</td>
<td>0.6±0.4</td>
<td>0.8±0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline phosphatase (U/l)</td>
<td>41±6</td>
<td>38±8</td>
<td>40±5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR(ml/min/khm²)</td>
<td>90.1±17.7</td>
<td>90.5±16.7</td>
<td>92.9±14.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea mg/dl (mg/dl)</td>
<td>22±5</td>
<td>21±4</td>
<td>22±6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>micro-macro-hematuria</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cylindruria</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketonuria</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract infections</td>
<td>2 (1.1)</td>
<td>5 (2.8)</td>
<td>6 (3.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genital infections</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7 (3.9)</td>
<td>9 (5.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyuria</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>76 (42.7)</td>
<td>56 (32.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other infectious diseases</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthenia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea/vomiting</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other gastrointestinal disorders</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
<td>2 (1.1)</td>
<td>2 (1.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic reactions</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild hypoglycemia</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
<td>2 (1.1)</td>
<td>2 (1.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe hypoglycemia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In patients with T2DM, the main cause of morbidity and mortality is cardiovascular (CV) disease. Diabetic kidney disease, which develops in approximately 40% of patients with T2DM, further increases the risk of CV-related morbidity and mortality. The sodium SGLT2i empagliflozin, which is known to provide effective metabolic control both per se or as an add-on to other glucose-lowering agents in patients with T2DM, was also shown to improve CV and renal outcomes in the large, randomized, placebo-controlled EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial in patients at high risk of CV events.13-14 The underlying mechanisms for the CV and renal protective effects of empagliflozin are not fully understood, but are likely to involve a combination of several mechanisms, including empagliflozin-associated body weight and blood pressure reduction, enhanced diuresis, modified substrate utilization and tubulo-glomerular feedback activation. The results of ongoing CV outcomes trials with other SGLT2 inhibitors will potentially confirm whether the beneficial CV and renal effects observed in EMPA-REG OUTCOME are unique to empagliflozin or reflect a drug class effect.35

**DISCUSSION**

In patients with T2DM, the main cause of morbidity and mortality is cardiovascular (CV) disease. Diabetic kidney disease, which develops in approximately 40% of patients with T2DM, further increases the risk of CV-related morbidity and mortality. The sodium SGLT2i empagliflozin, which is known to provide effective metabolic control both per se or as an add-on to other glucose-lowering agents in patients with T2DM, was also shown to improve CV and renal outcomes in the large, randomized, placebo-controlled EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial in patients at high risk of CV events.13-14 The underlying mechanisms for the CV and renal protective effects of empagliflozin are not fully understood, but are likely to involve a combination of several mechanisms, including empagliflozin-associated body weight and blood pressure reduction, enhanced diuresis, modified substrate utilization and tubulo-glomerular feedback activation. The results of ongoing CV outcomes trials with other SGLT2 inhibitors will potentially confirm whether the beneficial CV and renal effects observed in EMPA-REG OUTCOME are unique to empagliflozin or reflect a drug class effect.35
Guidelines for cardio-vascular disease (CVD) prevention recommend the use of risk scores to identify adults at high CVD risk expected to benefit from preventive therapy. Several scoring systems exist to help clinicians assess the 10-year CHD risk, being the Framingham risk score the most widely used among them.36,37

The Framingham-Study researchers37 also proposed a new model for use in the primary care setting, patently differentiated by gender. This “reclassification” of CVR was based on three basically sound ideas: first, it was easier to just use four parameters which had been recognized as the major risk factors (RF) in cardiovascular epidemiology, i.e. cholesterol, blood pressure (BP), diabetes mellitus and smoking; second, the model could predict all CVD events [coronary heart disease (CHD), cerebrovascular events (CVE), peripheral arterial disease (PAD) and heart failure (HF)] and provided calibration factors for each entity that may be of interest to the physician; and third, the concept of vascular or heart age was included, which could be calculated from the model.

Moreover, according to the 2009 Canadian Cholesterol Guidelines38 all cardiovascular risk assessment calculators are defective per se, nevertheless the Framingham Risk Score (FRS) is recommended for total CVD anticipation. It was validated in Canada with the Cardiovascular Life Expectancy Model, and has been shown to increase adherence to therapeutic measures. Anyway, the FRS has been shown to underestimate the risk in specific categories of patients, especially the young, women, and eventually those with the metabolic syndrome.

By adding just 2 measurements (a family history of premature CAD and high hsCRP) to the Framingham model, the Reynolds Risk Score (RRS) seemed to further improve physician’s CVD risk prediction ability, particularly for those people who in the past were perceived as being at moderate risk and was validated in men and women in an American population.39,40

There is reason to believe; however, that the FRS or the RRS, although practical, may not be applicable to southern countries, despite geographic variations in the use of a specific SGLT-2i, suggests that in real-world practice the benefits previously reported with empagliflozin in the context of a randomized clinical trial may be applicable to all SGLT is in a broad population of patients with T2DM and reflect a class effect.43

Our goal was in fact to convincingly answer above mentioned patient questions on possible treatment-related cardiovascular complications.

Using the Cox regression analysis we weighed single factors used by the individual CV risk calculator and we could realize arterial hypertension and antihypertensive treatment to be the leading ones. In fact, as seen in Table 4, age, sex and diabetes were highly significant per se but, as non-modifiable factors, could not be expected to contribute to risk reduction over time. Among modifiable risk factors, smoking habits were also significant, as expected, but less than the others in our hands and total cholesterol e LDL-C, which proved to be highly significant, had a much lower HR than systolic-BP and antihypertensive treatment. We cannot provide an explanation for such observation as our investigation was not powered enough for a meaningful statistical analysis of this finding which, being unexpected, had not been included among the goals of the study. However, we may hypothesize a possible mechanism behind it to be insulin resistance, which is known to be a strong factor contributing to arterial hypertension in people with T2DM. Further ad hoc designed studies are warranted to validate such hypothesis.

LIMITATIONS

The main limitations of our study are the small number of enrolled subjects compared to large RCTs and the relatively short follow-up period.

However, it should be considered that cardiovascular outcomes were already found to be positively affected in the EMPAREG OUTCOME study within less than 6 months and that, by using our population-based CV-Individual Risk Score within the apparently short observation period we chose, we could anyway confirm those favorable effects in terms of single CV risk factors contributing to the final score.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our results confirmed empagliflozin efficacy in terms of persistent control of both glucose and virtually all other
cardiovascular risk factors (weight, BMI, blood pressure, lipids, uric acid). As a consequence of that, the 10-year Cardiovascular Risk (CRA) score significantly decreased in diabetic patients without previous major cardiovascular events. Finally, the drug was well tolerated and, when present, genitourinary tract infections mostly resolved with specific medications without any need for treatment discontinuation.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed to study conception and design, data acquisition, analysis and interpretation, manuscript preparation and critical revision.

COMPLIANCE WITH ETHICAL STANDARDS

The study was organized and supported by the AID Study Group (non-profit organization for the study of endocrine and metabolic disorders), Naples, Italy in conformance with good clinical practice (GCP) standards. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants before enrollment; the study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved all the Ethics Committees of the Centers participating in the study.

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

All followed procedures were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and national responsible committee on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES


16. Giampaoli S, Palmieri L, Donfrancesco C, Lo Noce C, Pi-


34. Søfteland E, Meier JJ, Vangen B, Toorawa R, Maldonado-Lutomirsky M, Broedl UC. Empagliflozin as add-on therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled with linaagliptin and metformin: A 24-week randomized, double-blind,


