

Commentary

*Corresponding author

Taro Minami, MD

Assistant Professor of Medicine
Department of Medicine
Division of Pulmonary
Critical Care and Sleep Medicine
Memorial Hospital of Rhode Island
The Warren Alpert Medical School of
Brown University
Pawtucket, RI, USA
Tel. +1-401-729-2635
Fax: +1-401-729-2157
E-mail: nantaro@gmail.com

Volume 4 : Issue 1

Article Ref. #: 1000PRRMOJ4133

Article History

Received: August 16th, 2016

Accepted: September 29th, 2016

Published: September 29th, 2016

Citation

Minami T, Minami A, Manzoor K, Saraya T. Modern technology in respiratory medicine: Lung ultrasonography—Is it time for the stethoscope to give up its throne? *Pulm Res Respir Med Open J*. 2016; 4(1): 19-20. doi: [10.17140/PRRMOJ-4-133](https://doi.org/10.17140/PRRMOJ-4-133)

Copyright

©2016 Minami T. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Modern Technology in Respiratory Medicine: Lung Ultrasonography—Is it Time for the Stethoscope to Give Up its Throne?

Taro Minami, MD^{1*}; Akiko Minami, MD²; Kamran Manzoor, MD¹; Takeshi Saraya, MD, PhD³

¹Department of Medicine, Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care and Sleep Medicine, Memorial Hospital of Rhode Island, The Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Pawtucket, RI, USA

²Department of Medicine, Memorial Hospital of Rhode Island, The Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Pawtucket, RI, USA

³Department of Respiratory Medicine, Kyorin University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

The year 2016 marks the 200th anniversary of the invention of the stethoscope by Dr. René Théophile Hyacinthe Laennec. He was first inspired in September 1816 when he observed 2 children playing with a long piece of solid wood and a pin. He later made the very first stethoscope when he rolled a paper to listen to the heart sounds of a young woman. He further refined the instrument by constructing it with a hollow tube of wood.¹ Three years later, in 1819, he published a textbook, which has been the foundation of respiratory medicine. In his textbook titled “*De l’Auscultation Médiante ou Traité du Diagnostic des Maladies des Pouvmonset du Coeur (On Mediate Auscultation or Treatise on the Diagnosis of the Diseases of the Lungs and Heart)*”, he introduced the terms that we still use in respiratory medicine, such as “rale”, “rhonchi,” or “egophony”. Laennec founded the basis of modern respiratory medicine with the invention of this remarkable tool. The stethoscope remains an indispensable tool for physicians, and not a single day passes without it being used to examine a patient. However, in the last decade, notable controversies have emerged regarding the utilization of the stethoscope.²

It is interesting to learn how this new technology was perceived when Laennec first introduced the stethoscope. While this diagnostic tool was generally well received, as reported by the *New England Journal of Medicine* in 1821,³ Simmons described in his book that some physicians rejected this instrument in the 20th century and preferred to apply their ears to their patients.⁴

The history of lung ultrasonography, on the other hand, is relatively new. Lichtenstein published a paper in 1995 that assessed movement of the lung surface to demonstrate the absence of pneumothorax, which has been termed as “lung sliding”.⁵ He also proposed to assess lung parenchyma itself by utilizing artifacts, such as reverberation artifact and ring-down artifact, which he named A-line and B-line.⁶ Given its relatively new history, it may not be surprising that the utilization of lung ultrasonography has not been fully appreciated in the field of internal medicine.⁷ Lung ultrasonography is unique in the utilization of artifacts that were often considered to impede the analysis of images.

Diaphragm ultrasonography is another example of a technology that has changed the diagnostic approach to assess diaphragmatic function. Before this imaging modality was developed, it was relatively time consuming and invasive to diagnose diaphragm dysfunction by fluoroscopy or by electromyography. McCool et al⁸ reported that with the aid of ultrasonography, the movement of the diaphragm dome and thickening of the diaphragm itself may be directly observed in real time. This can be performed at the bedside in the intensive care unit (ICU) or in the clinic for convenient identification of the presence or absence of diaphragm dysfunction. Though its history is relatively new, ultrasonography is becoming a standard tool in the field of

pulmonary and critical care medicine. Mayo et al⁹ at the American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP) have already recognized its importance and published a statement in competencies of ultrasonography for critical care physicians 7 years ago.

Regarding diagnostic accuracy, the stethoscope may not be as strong a tool as hoped for, especially for trainees. A study by Mangione revealed a striking deficiency in pulmonary auscultatory skills among internal medicine and family practice trainees as they recognized less than half of all respiratory events.¹⁰ He also described “disturbingly low” cardiac auscultatory skills among internal medicine and family medicine trainees since they recognized 20% of all cardiac events.¹¹ Lung ultrasonography, on the other hand, clearly gives different results. Lichtenstein described in his original article that the absence of lung sliding to detect pneumothorax has extremely high sensitivity of 95.3% and specificity of 91.1% with positive predictive value of 87% and negative predictive value of 100%.⁵

People may have a reasonable concern regarding new technology, as the novelty of using such technology may distract physicians from focusing on their patients. Criticism is often directed towards physicians who tend to care less about the crucial part of data gathering by history and physical examination. While we acknowledge these potential pitfalls of new technologies, we should not deny its potential to revolutionize the world of medicine, as seen with the stethoscope, chest radiograph, or computed tomography, and the potential to create an entire new horizon, as Laennec did with the stethoscope 200 years ago.

In conclusion, the time for the stethoscope to give up its throne to lung ultrasonography may not have arrived; although in the near future, such an occurrence may be likely as the use of lung ultrasonography increases in the world of respiratory medicine. Hopefully, it will follow the path of its predecessor to revolutionize the field of medicine.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Roguin A. Rene Theophile Hyacinthe Laënnec (1781–1826): The man behind the stethoscope. *Clin Med Res*. 2006; 4(3): 230-235. doi: [10.3121/cm.4.3.230](https://doi.org/10.3121/cm.4.3.230)
2. Solomon SD, Saldana F. Point-of-care ultrasound in medical education-stop listening and look. *N Engl J Med*. 2014; 370(12): 1083-1085. doi: [10.1056/NEJMp1311944](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1311944)
3. Laennec RTH. De l'auscultation mediate outraité du diagnostic des maladies des Poumonset du Cœur, fondé principalement sur ce nouveau moyend' exploration [In French]. *N Engl J Med Surg*. 1821; 10: 132-156.

4. Simmons JG. *Doctors and Discoveries: Lives that Created Today's Medicine*. Boston, USA: Houghton Mifflin Company; 2002.

5. Lichtenstein DA, Menu Y. A bedside ultrasound sign ruling out pneumothorax in the critically ill-lung sliding. *Chest*. 1995; 108(5): 1345-1348.

6. Lichtenstein DA, Mezière GA. Relevance of lung ultrasound in the diagnosis of acute respiratory failure: The BLUE protocol. *Chest*. 2008; 134(1): 117-125. doi: [10.1378/chest.07-2800](https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.07-2800)

7. Fuhlbrigge AL, Choi AMK. *Diagnostic Procedures in Respiratory Disease in Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine*. 19th ed. McGraw-Hill, New York, USA: Elsevier; 2015.

8. McCool FD, Minami T. Diaphragm ultrasound in the ICU. In: Jankowich M, Gartman E, ed. *Ultrasound in the Intensive Care Unit*. New York, NY, USA: Humana Press; 2015: 235-238.

9. Mayo PH, Beaulieu Y, Doelken P, et al. American College of Chest Physicians/La Société de Réanimation de Langue Française statement on competence in critical care ultrasonography. *Chest*. 2009; 135(4): 1050-1060. doi: [10.1378/chest.08-2305](https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.08-2305)

10. Mangione S, Nieman LZ. Pulmonary auscultatory skills during training in internal medicine and family practice. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 1999; 159(4 Pt 1): 1119-1124. doi: [10.1164/ajrccm.159.4.9806083](https://doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.159.4.9806083)

11. Mangione S, Nieman LZ. Cardiac auscultatory skills of internal medicine and family practice trainees. A comparison of diagnostic proficiency. *JAMA*. 1997; 278(9): 717-722. doi: [10.1001/jama.1997.03550090041030](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.1997.03550090041030)