

Editorial

*Corresponding author

Waldo R. Guerrero, MD

Assistant Professor

College of Medicine

University of South Florida

2 Tampa General Circle, 6th Floor

Tampa, FL 33606, USA

Tel. 813-259-0658

E-mail: wguerre@health.usf.edu

Volume 3 : Issue 1

Article Ref. #: 1000NOJ3e005

Article History

Received: December 22nd, 2015

Accepted: December 23rd, 2015

Published: December 23rd, 2015

Citation

Guerrero WR. Mobile stroke unit (MSU): the future of acute stroke treatment? *Neuro Open J.* 2015; 3(1): e1-e4. doi: [10.17140/NOJ-3-e005](https://doi.org/10.17140/NOJ-3-e005)

Copyright

©2015 Guerrero WR. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Mobile Stroke Unit (MSU): The Future of Acute Stroke Treatment?

Waldo R. Guerrero, MD*

College of Medicine, University of South Florida, 2 Tampa General Circle, 6th Floor, Tampa, FL 33606, USA

KEYWORDS: Ischemic stroke; Mobile unit; MSU; Thrombolysis; Pre-hospital.

Time is the key factor in brain survivability in acute stroke treatment.¹ The therapeutic effects of intravenous recombinant tissue Plasminogen Activator (IV rtPA) are highly dependent on time.¹⁻³ Stroke patients presenting within the first 60 minutes, or the golden hour, are the most likely to benefit from recanalization therapy.¹⁻³ Thus, making rapid clinical and imaging evaluation of stroke patients of utmost importance and very difficult to complete within the golden hour time window. Based on Get with the Guidelines-Stroke Program (April 2003 to October 2009), less than one-third of patients treated with IV rtPA have door-to-needle times of less than 60 minutes.⁴

However, the delivery of care to a stroke victim is complex and involves pre-hospital and in-hospital stages. Once the patient arrives in a hospital, the recommended door-to-needle time is less than 60 mins.⁵ Despite combined efforts to streamline procedures in hospitals to provide treatment as soon as possible, most places are challenged to stay within this time window.^{4,6} In fact, most patients are still treated with considerable delay and very few of them receive intravenous tissue Plasminogen Activator (tPA) within 90 mins after symptom onset.⁶ While the number needed to treat in order to achieve a modified Rankin Scale (mRS) of 0-1 is only 4,⁵ when treatment is provided within 90 mins, it raises to 9 between 90 and 180 mins and exceeds 14 by 4.5 h.²

The majority of the delay in treating these patients is related to prehospital delay. Rapid triage of such patients could lead to faster treatment with acute therapies such as IV rtPA. To increase the number of patients treated within the golden hour, Mobile Stroke Units (MSUs) have emerged as the potential mitigation of this problem and as the future of acute stroke treatment. Currently, MSUs have emerged in Germany as well in the United States in Houston and Cleveland.

The MSU concept offers a remedy to the “time” dilemma in acute stroke management. Stroke patients can be triaged at the scene and taken directly to comprehensive stroke centers without delay and bypassing potential delays in subsequent transfers. Acute stroke patients could also receive prompt imaging in the Mobile Stroke Unit, which in turn would lead to faster triaging of patients and their care. However, the MSU is more than just a mobile CT scanner; it also provides a platform for point of care laboratory testing, telemedicine, and acute management of stroke including the prompt administration of systemic thrombolysis.

This strategy was first proposed in Germany in 2003⁷ and shown to be feasible in 2010.⁸ In 2012, Walter et al reported findings from a single-center prospective randomized trial involving 100 patients in Saarland, Germany.⁹ They demonstrated a 50% reduction in the delay to a therapy decision regarding IV tPA administration. The median alarm to-therapy decision time of 35 minutes and the symptom-onset-to-needle time of 72 min were shorter than all other reported time limits for stroke management. In 2014, Ebinger et al reported on a similar model implemented in Berlin, Germany.¹⁰ The study included 6182 patients who were randomized to weeks with and without availability of the mobile stroke ambulance. Compared to control

weeks, there was a reduction by 25 minutes from mean-alarm to treatment time. In addition, the rate of tPA administration was 33% during MSTU weeks, compared to 21% during control weeks.

MSUs could also allow for patients with suspected large-vessel occlusion to be specifically triaged to specialized stroke centers that offer endovascular treatment.¹¹ Most recently the Cleveland MSU group was able to demonstrate this concept and the effectiveness in the MSU in the rapid triage of patients with Acute Ischemic Stroke (AIS) from large vessel occlusions to a facility with interventional capabilities thereby saving precious time spent in inter hospital transfers.¹² In their study they were able to show that the time from door to groin puncture, and the first picture to groin puncture was shorter by almost one-half in the Mobile stroke treatment units (MSTUs) group when compared to Emergency Medical Systems (EMS)/private transport. Moreover, the MSU could allow for organization of further specialized treatments and etiology-specific blood pressure management already in the pre-hospital phase of stroke management.^{8,13-14} The latter could be specifically clinically relevant because there are indications that differential adjustment of blood pressure can be beneficial for patients with ischemic stroke (tolerating higher blood pressure values) or hemorrhagic stroke (reducing elevated blood pressure).¹¹

In addition, the implementation of the MSU has made the management of hemorrhagic stroke faster, with earlier blood pressure reduction based on the most recent guidelines.¹⁵ Having intravenous antihypertensive medications on board the MSU with experienced medical personnel familiar with their use and titration makes the hyper acute management of hemorrhagic stroke potentially more effective. Because hemorrhage enlargement occurs more frequently early in the course of intra cerebral hemorrhage,¹⁶⁻¹⁸ the MSU might be a useful venue for testing out new therapies to limit bleeding. The Cleveland MSU group recently applied this principle, and they were able to initiate warfarin reversal within 57 minutes of EMS dispatch, with an MSTU door-to-needle time of 40 minutes.¹⁹ This new treatment paradigm combining a fast-acting reversal agent with remote physician evaluation, on-site imaging, and laboratory testing for the first time affords ultra early reversal of warfarin effect. If earlier time to antihypertensive or coagulopathy reversal treatments benefits in preventing hematoma expansion, the MSU might have an important role in delivering and showing the efficacy of early hemorrhagic stroke treatment.

The next step needed is to address the generalizability of such units. Each state, municipality, and collaborating EMS agency might have different requirements for ensuring accountability, licensing, radiation safety, and insurance. The reality is that emergency medical systems (EMS) Germany as in rural Ocala, Florida. How much time can be saved by use of MSUs in the United States where traffic patterns, distances, market forces, and local regulations differ from Germany, is also likely to be location-specific and differ between urban and rural areas. Furthermore, most cities in Germany have a highly developed emergency care system with specifically trained doctors on ambulances,²⁰ which is not the case in the United States. Implementing MSUs across various cities in the United States would require many MSUs, cooperation of various different kinds of EMS systems/personal, and exceptional coordination within the system to overcome logistical issues. Furthermore, deployment of an MSU in a rural or ex-urban area would require different organization.

The cost-effectiveness of the MSU also still needs to be studied and compared with other strategies of remotely triaging stroke patients including the use of telestroke alone.²¹ Financial sustainability will be a major issue and the biggest barriers to this ground-breaking approach in acute stroke treatment will be logistical and financial. The advantages of the MSU have to be weighed against the costs of the project, including expenses for investments, staff, and consumables. Other issues, besides staffing, that will determine net costs include the design of the MSU and reimbursement for drugs, transport, and physician services. Judicious attention to cost control will be needed when making the case for MSU coverage by healthcare payers. A health economic analysis needs to be carried out as part of the MSU trials.

In summary, logistical and financial barriers remained to be solved. In addition, more clinical studies are needed to explore the long-term clinical outcomes in patients. Even in light of these obstacles, MSUs have the potential to be the future of acute stroke treatment.

REFERENCES

1. Saver JL. Time is brain-quantified. *Stroke*. 2006; 37: 263-266. doi: [10.1161/01.STR.0000196957.55928.ab](https://doi.org/10.1161/01.STR.0000196957.55928.ab)
2. Lees KR, Bluhmki E, von Kummer R, et al. Time to treatment with intravenous alteplase and outcome in stroke: an updated pooled analysis of ECASS, ATLANTIS, NINDS, and EPITHET trials. *Lancet*. 2010; 375: 1695-1703. doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(10\)60491-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60491-6)
3. Lansberg MG, Schrooten M, Bluhmki E, Thijs VN, Saver JL. Treatment time-specific number needed to treat estimates for tissue plasminogen activator therapy in acute stroke based on shifts over the entire range of the modified rank in scale. *Stroke*. 2009; 40:

2079-2084. doi: [10.1161/STROKEAHA.108.540708](https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.108.540708)

4. Fonarow GC, Smith EE, Saver JL, et al. Timeliness of tissue-type plasminogen activator therapy in acute ischemic stroke: patient characteristics, hospital factors, and outcomes associated with door-to-needle times within 60 minutes. *Circulation*. 2011; 123: 750-758. doi: [10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.974675](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.974675)

5. Adams HP Jr, del Zoppo G, Alberts MJ, et al. Guidelines for the early management of adults with ischemic stroke: a guideline from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association Stroke Council, Clinical Cardiology Council, Cardiovascular Radiology and Intervention Council, and the Atherosclerotic Peripheral Vascular Disease and Quality of Care Outcomes in Research Interdisciplinary Working Groups: the American Academy of Neurology affirms the value of this guideline as an educational tool for neurologists. *Stroke*. 2007; 38: 1655-1711. doi: [10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.181486](https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.181486)

6. Wahlgren N, Ahmed N, Davalos A, et al. Thrombolysis with alteplase 3-4.5 h after acute ischaemic stroke (SITS-ISTR): an observational study. *Lancet*. 2008; 372: 1303-1309. doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(08\)61339-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61339-2)

7. Fassbender K, Walter S, Liu Y, et al. "Mobile stroke unit" for hyperacute stroke treatment. *Stroke*. 2003; 34: e44. doi: [10.1161/01.STR.0000075573.22885.3B](https://doi.org/10.1161/01.STR.0000075573.22885.3B)

8. Walter S, Kostopoulos P, Haass A, et al. Bringing the hospital to the patient: first treatment of stroke patients at the emergency site. *PLoS One*. 2010; 5: e13758. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0013758](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0013758)

9. Walter S, Kostopoulos P, Haass A, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of patients with stroke in a mobile stroke unit versus in hospital: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol*. 2012; 11: 397-404. doi: [10.1016/S1474-4422\(12\)70057-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(12)70057-1)

10. Ebinger M, Winter B, Wendt M, et al. Effect of the use of ambulance-based thrombolysis on time to thrombolysis in acute ischemic stroke: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2014; 311: 1622-1631. doi: [10.1001/jama.2014.2850](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2014.2850)

11. Anderson CS, Heeley E, Huang Y, et al. For the INTERACT2 Investigators. Rapid blood-pressure lowering in patients with acute intracerebral hemorrhage. *N Engl J Med*. 2013; 368: 2355-2365. doi: [10.1056/NEJMoa1214609](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1214609)

12. Cerejo R, John S, Buletko AB, et al. A mobile stroke treatment unit for field triage of patients for intraarterial revascularization therapy. *J Neuroimaging*. 2015; 25: 940-945. doi: [10.1111/jon.12276](https://doi.org/10.1111/jon.12276)

13. Kostopoulos P, Walter S, Haass A, et al. Mobile stroke unit for diagnosis-based triage of persons with suspected stroke. *Neurology*. 2012; 78: 1849-1852. doi: [10.1212/WNL.0b013e318258f773](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e318258f773)

14. Weber JE, Ebinger M, Rozanski M, et al. for the STEMO-Consortium. Prehospital thrombolysis in acute stroke: results of the PHANTOM-Spilot study. *Neurology*. 2013; 80: 163-168. doi: [10.1212/WNL.0b013e31827b90e5](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e31827b90e5)

15. Hemphill JC 3rd, Greenberg SM, Anderson CS, et al. American Heart Association Stroke Council; Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing; Council on Clinical Cardiology. Guidelines for the management of spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*. 2015; 46: 2032-2060. doi: [10.1161/STR.0000000000000069](https://doi.org/10.1161/STR.0000000000000069)

16. Fujii Y, Takeuchi S, Sasaki O, Minakawa T, Tanaka R. Multivariate analysis of predictors of hematoma enlargement in spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage. *Stroke*. 1998; 29: 1160-1166. doi: [10.1161/01.STR.29.6.1160](https://doi.org/10.1161/01.STR.29.6.1160)

17. Brott T, Broderick J, Kothari R, et al. Early hemorrhage growth in patients with intracerebral hemorrhage. *Stroke*. 1997; 28: 1-5. doi: [10.1161/01.STR.28.1.1](https://doi.org/10.1161/01.STR.28.1.1)

18. Broderick JP, Dinger MN, Hill MD, et al. Recombinant Activated Factor VII Intracerebral Hemorrhage Trial Investigators. Determinants of intracerebral hemorrhage growth: an exploratory analysis. *Stroke*. 2007; 38: 1072-1075.

19. Gomes JA, Ahrens CL, Hussain MS, Winners S, Rasmussen PA, Uchino K. Cleveland Pre-Hospital Acute Stroke Treatment Study Group. Prehospital reversal of warfarin-related coagulopathy in intracerebral hemorrhage in a mobile stroke treatment unit. *Stroke*. 2015; 46: e118-e1120. doi: [10.1161/STROKEAHA.115.008483](https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.115.008483)

20. Fischer M, Kamp J, Garcia-Castrillo Riesgo L, et al. Comparing emergency medical service systems – a project of the European EmergencyData (EED) project. *Resuscitation*. 2011; 82: 285-293. doi: [10.1016/j.resuscitation.2010.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2010.11.001)
21. Moskowitz A, Chan YF, Bruns J, Levine SR. Emergency physician and stroke specialist beliefs and expectations regarding telestroke. *Stroke*. 2010. 41: 805-809. doi: [10.1161/STROKEAHA.109.574137](https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.109.574137)