

Case Report

Cosmetic Tail Docking – An Option for Severely Injured Tail in Dog: A Case Report

Haben Fesseha, MVSc, DVM*

Department of Veterinary Surgery and Diagnostic Imaging, School of Veterinary Medicine, Wolaita Sodo University, P. O. Box 138, Wolaita Sodo, Ethiopia

*Corresponding author

Haben Fesseha, MVSc, DVM

Assistant Professor, Department of Veterinary Surgery and Diagnostic Imaging, School of Veterinary Medicine, Wolaita Sodo University, P. O. Box 138, Wolaita Sodo, Ethiopia; Tel. +251910737790; E-mail: tseyon.h@gmail.com

Article information

Received: May 22nd, 2020; Revised: July 27th, 2020; Accepted: July 28th, 2020; Published: July 28th, 2020

Cite this article

Fesseha H. Cosmetic tail docking – an option for severely injured tail in dog: A case report. *Vet Med Open J.* 2020; 5(2): 26-29. doi: [10.17140/VMOJ-5-145](https://doi.org/10.17140/VMOJ-5-145)

ABSTRACT

Tail docking is usually performed on those dogs whose tail or associated structures have been injured. A two-year-old male dog was presented Veterinary Teaching Hospital (VTH) with complain of injury on the tail due to bite by another dog. The injured site of the tail was contaminated with the debris on presentation and the dog was in severe pain. Amputation of the tail at the level above the injury site of the tail was taken. Care for surgery, oxytetracycline wound spray was applied on the incision site of the tail; Pen Strep and Meloxicam were given for three-days. The suture material was removed 10th-day post-surgery and the tail stump healed uneventfully. Hence, tail amputation is the best option of treatment for severing traumatic tail and successful management of the injured tail was presented in the present case report.

Keywords

Dog; Injured tail; Cosmetic tail docking.

INTRODUCTION

Convenience surgery is the definition of a set of surgical interventions in pets that are not justified from a veterinary medical perspective.¹ These interventions include tail-docking, ear-cropping, declawing, and debarking. Animal tails are known to be important for social signaling in some animal species.² Docking is a surgical removal animal's tail either for therapeutic reason or prevention of different injuries. Tail docking can be done in either of the two techniques. The first technique involves blocking the blood supply towards the tail with a rubber ligature for a few days until the tail falls off. Whereas, the second one involves the amputation of the tail with surgical scissors or a scalpel. The length to which tails are docked varies by breed and is often specified in the breed standard.³

Tail docking is practiced for centuries and although it could be painful, it is often done without any anesthetic.⁴ With tail docking, all or part of an animal's tail is amputated.⁵ The tail docking has a long history since the beginning of domesticating animals. It is understood to date at least to the Roman Empire. Tail docking is done for several reasons. For instance, in most long-tail

dog breeds, it is done for preventing injuries during exercising and fighting whereas, in hunting dogs, it is done to minimize the risk of getting cut up by brushes and thorns as the dog wags its tail. Thus, this is contested by a wide range of groups and is sometimes considered a form of animal cruelty, and this practice is restricted by many European countries.^{3,6}

Historically, tail docking in many dog breeds is an established custom believed to have been introduced some 2000-years-ago.⁵ In larger breed dogs, docking has been practiced to prevent a different type of injuries and infections as a result of the type of work (guard work) (not to be confused with patrol work where a handler can provide secondary aid) may be docked to prevent their tails from being grabbed in a fight.^{5,6} The European Convention for the Protection of Pet Animals that is established by the Council of Europe in 1987 restricted the practice of tail docking for non-medical reasons, however, member countries are free to opt-out of this provision, and almost half of them have done so. Norway completely banned the practice in 1987.⁷ Other countries where docking is banned include Australia and the United Kingdom.⁸

Nowadays, tail docking is done for prophylactic (to pre-

vent injury), therapeutic, and cosmetic purposes. In some dogs such as hunting dogs, herding dogs, or terrier dogs, tails can be subject to abrasion or other injuries while moving through dense brush or thickets and this causes pain and infection since it collects burrs and foxtails when the tail wags. Bones in the tail can be broken due to different causes like accidents, injuries, and infections. This, in turn, causes spinal injury to the tail and this needs to remove tail in order to protect the dog from spinal injuries or trauma. However, the largest veterinary professional organization in the United States, the American Veterinary Medical Association, did not accept these since it lacks substantial scientific support.^{3,6,9}

Tail docking is usually performed in puppies at an age of 3-4-days. Dogs, like most carnivores, are born in a much less-developed nervous system than are most herbivores of the same age.⁵ The most suitable and recommended age of docking in a dog is between the first 3 and 5-days-old. At a later age, it might be expected that, since sensory and perceptive processes are more developed, any pain associated with docking may be intensified in all animal species.¹⁰ The American Veterinary Medical Association (AVMA) has expressed a concern that pain during this early development period could cause long-lasting damage and there is evidence in many species that noxious stimuli in the perinatal period may permanently alter the normal development of the central nervous system and have negative long-term consequences.^{3,8}

During the evaluation of the techniques of tail docking, the assessment of acute pain during the procedure, and post-operative pain is the key issue. For this purpose, different parameters such as behavioral and physiological indicators are used to assess the pain. Vocalizations, reluctant to come in contact with a painful stimulus and distress are the behavioral indicators of pain, whereas an increase in plasma cortisol or corticosterone, and increased heart rate are considered as physiological indicators of pain.⁵ Besides, the behaviors and parameters from neurobiology must be considered for a sufficient understanding of the nature of pain in animals.^{3,6}

Tail amputation should only be performed on those dogs whose tail, or associated structures have been injured or where there is occult pathology of this appendage.^{11,12} Amputation of the tail is indicated for therapeutic of different conditions such as traumatic lesions, infections, neoplasia, and perianal fistula. Complications include infection, dehiscence, scarring, fistula recurrence, and anal sphincter and rectal trauma.¹³ In mature dogs, tail amputation is most commonly performed for the treatment of traumatic skin loss, ischemia, or denervation. Combined with other therapies, tail amputation may also improve outcome.¹⁴ In the present case report, a successful cosmetic tail amputation in a dog has been described.

CASE HISTORY AND CLINICAL EXAMINATION FINDINGS

A 2-year-old male dog weighing about 18 kg was presented to Veterinary Teaching Hospital (VTH) with a complaint of tail injured due to biting by another dog during the fighting and the dog was

admitted to the hospital a few hours after injuries. Upon presentations, most of the dog's tail was damaged and injured with bleeding from the injured part of the tail. Also, the dog was aggressive in condition due to pain. Besides, the injured area of the tail was covered with fresh clotted blood and contaminated with debris. The dog was diagnosed with different clinical parameters like heart rate, respiration rate, and the temperature was within physiological limits. Finally, the amputation of the dog's tail was made above the level of injury.

Animal Handling, Pre-operative Patient Preparation, and Anesthetic Protocol


Pre-operatively, the dog was withheld 12-hours from feed and 6-hours from the water before coming to the clinic based on an appointment. The dog then was properly restrained and pre-medicated with chemical methods using Ana-Sed® (xylazine HCl, Heartland Vet Supply Ltd, CL, USA at a dose rate of 1.1 mg/kg, intramuscularly). After proper sedation, the dog was positioned in lateral recumbency and the area around the injured tail was washed with water and soap thoroughly after deep sedation. Then, the area around the wound was aseptically prepared by clipping, shaving, scrubbing, washing with water, and Salvon® (cetrimide 3% and Chlorhexidine gluconate 0.5% solution).

Besides, a tourniquet was applied to the base of the tail root and lumbosacral epidural nerve block was done with 2% lidocaine (Lidocaine hydrochloride 2%, Vedco Inc. Saint Joseph Missouri, USA) at a dose rate of 1ml/6 kg body weight to reduce hemorrhage.¹⁵ The dog was kept on fluid therapy soon after sedation (5% Dextrose solution plus 0.9% NaCl, 1000 ml stat, IV, Addis Pharmaceutical, Adigrat Ethiopia, at a surgical rate of 10 ml/kg/hr. and calculated rate 1 drop/sec) to maintain the acid-base balance.

Surgical Management

After proper anesthetic protocol and aseptically preparing the area, the amputation of the tail was carried out according to Tobias.¹⁶ A V-shaped skin incision was made 1-2 cm caudal to the joint space at the proposed amputation site, at the base of the tail. After ligating the lateral caudal and medial caudal arteries cranial to the amputation site using chronic catgut 2-0, the coccygeal muscles were transected and the coccygeal vertebrae disarticulated both dorsally and ventrally. The dorsal and ventral skin flaps were pulled cranially to provide a tension-free closure using a scalpel blade. The skin

Figure 1. A and B: Clinical Presentation of an Injured Dog after Bite C: The Appearance of the Tail after Aseptic Preparation and Regional Anesthesia of Injured Tail



was closed with a cross mattress suture pattern using size 1-0 nylon non-absorbable suture material. Finally, the area was properly cleaned and dressed with a 1% povidone-iodine solution and the dog was admitted home after full anesthetic recovery (Figure 1).

Post-operative Care and Outcome

Post-operatively, the area was bandaged with gauze having iodine, and oxytetracycline wound spray was applied on the incision site of the tail. Besides, antibiotic Pen Strep (30 mg/kg) and Meloxicam (0.5 mg/kg, IM) were administered IM, SID (once a day) for three days to manage post-operative complications and pain, respectively. The suture was removed on 10th-day post-surgery and the tail stump healed uneventfully after 2-weeks post-operation.

DISCUSSION

In the current case report, the tail was severely injured due to a bite during fighting with another dog. This finding is in line with Olatunji-Akioye et al¹³ who has been reported tail amputation in a single case in association with tail fracture injury sustained through fighting with a cage mate as mainly therapeutic. Besides, this finding also supported by Ural et al¹⁷ who employed amputation in severely affected cattle tail.

In the present case report, the tail docking was conducted the recommended procedure for amputating the tail and this was in line with the previous report of Tobias¹⁶ and Eyarefe et al,¹⁸ that uses the same procedure to amputate tail in various species including dogs. The recommended age for tail docking is in puppies of 2-5-days of age usually performed. However, in this case, report, it was in a dog of 2-years of old that disagrees with the previous report of Eyarefe et al¹⁸ and Bennett et al⁵ that was performed during the first weeks of their age.

The reasons for tail docking include prevention of fecal soiling in lambs which can predispose animals to flystrike¹⁹ and tail biting in pigs to prevent serious injury.²⁰ Tail docking in dairy cattle may have originated for two reasons: to control disease transmission and improve the milker's comfort.² In Karakkas lambs, deposition of fat in the tail which requires more energy than deposition of lean meat makes tail docking necessary to improve carcass characteristics.²¹

Nowadays, many countries restricted the practice of cropping and docking because of the effect of the procedure such as pain. European countries that have signed and ratified the European Convention for the Protection of Pet Animals have banned the practice of cropping of ears. However, some countries that ratified the convention made exceptions for tail docking.^{3,6,8} Thus, the use of cosmetic tail docking remains controversial for acute and chronic pain associated with the procedure.⁵

In this case, the tail amputation was done to prevent further infection. This work agreed with Olatunji-Akioye et al.¹³ Complications associated with tail amputation include infection, dehiscence, scarring, fistula recurrence, and anal sphincter and

rectal trauma.¹³ Arguments against tail docking include acute pain, chronic health problems associated with docking, impaired locomotion, and impaired communication by docked dogs.⁵

CONCLUSION

In this case, successful surgical management of tail amputation was done in a dog. In conclusion, tail amputation remains the last option of treatment for severing traumatic tail if medical treatment is unsuccessful.

DECLARATION

The Mekelle University, College of Veterinary Science ethical review committee had critically reviewed and conclude that there was no ethical misconduct.

The approval of ethical committee was taken for conducting this study and followed all the animal ethics and welfare guidelines.

REFERENCES

1. Quartarone V, Voslášrová E, Russo M, Doleželová P, Passantino A. A comparison of laws preventing unnecessary canine cosmetic surgery in Italy and in the Czech Republic. *Acta Veterinaria Brno*. 2012; 81(1): 83-88. doi: [10.2754/avb201281010083](https://doi.org/10.2754/avb201281010083)
2. Tucker CB, Fraser D, Weary DM. Tail docking dairy cattle: effects on cow cleanliness and udder health. *J Dairy Sci*. 2001; 84(1): 84-87. doi: [10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(01\)74455-4](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(01)74455-4)
3. Animal Welfare Veterinary Team. A review of the scientific aspects and veterinary opinions relating to tail docking in dogs. Food and Rural Affairs: AWVT; 2000.
4. Johnson J. *Dogs, Cats, and Their People: The Place of the Family Pet and Attitudes about Pet Keeping*. University of Waterloo; 2009; Waterloo, Canada.
5. Bennett PC, Perini E. Tail docking in dogs: a review of the issues. *Aust Vet J*. 2003; 81(4): 208-218. doi: [10.1111/j.1751-0813.2003.tb11473.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-0813.2003.tb11473.x)
6. Sinmez CC, Yigit A, Aslim G. Tail docking and ear cropping in dogs: a short review of laws and welfare aspects in Europe and Turkey. *Italian J Anim Sci*. 2017; 16(3): 431-437. doi: [10.1080/1828051X.2017.1291284](https://doi.org/10.1080/1828051X.2017.1291284)
7. Gross T, Carr S. *Amputation Neuroma of Docked Tails in Dogs*. Los Angeles, CA, USA: SAGE Publications; 1990.
8. McEldowney J, Grant W, Medley G. *The Regulation of Animal Health and Welfare: Science, Law and Policy*. England, UK: Routledge; 2013.
9. Schoen K, Sweet DC. Canine and feline tail amputation. *Lab*

Aim (NY). 2009; 38(7): 232-233. doi: [10.1038/labam0709-232](https://doi.org/10.1038/labam0709-232)

10. Molony V, Kent J, Robertson I. Behavioural responses of lambs of three ages in the first three hours after three methods of castration and tail docking. *Res Vet Sci*. 1993; 55(2): 236-245. doi: [10.1016/0034-5288\(93\)90087-v](https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-5288(93)90087-v)

11. Mills KE, von Keyserlingk MA, Niel L. A review of medically unnecessary surgeries in dogs and cats. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2016; 248(2): 162-171. doi: [10.2460/javma.248.2.162](https://doi.org/10.2460/javma.248.2.162)

12. Wansbrough RK. Cosmetic tail docking of dogs. *Aust Vet J*. 1996; 74(1): 59-63. doi: [10.1111/j.1751-0813.1996.tb13737.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-0813.1996.tb13737.x)

13. Olatunji-Akioye AO, Omobowale O, Olaiya A, Abiola J. Tail docking in an African lion (*Panthera leo*). *Israel J Vet Med*. 2010; 65(2): 75-76.

14. Salib F, Farghali H. Epidemiological, therapeutic and surgical studies on tail necrosis in Egypt. *Int J Vet Sci*. 2016; 5(2): 58-63.

15. Tranquilli WJ, Thurmon JC, Grimm KA. Local and Regional Anesthetic and Analgesic Techniques: Cats. In: *Lumb & Jones' veterinary anesthesia and analgesia*. 4th ed. Ames, IA, USA: Blackwell Publishing; 2007: 595-603.

16. Tobias K. *Manual of Small Animal Soft Tissue Surgery*: New Jersey, USA: John Wiley & Sons; 2017.

17. Ural K, Aliç D, Karakurum MÇ, Aktaş MS, Haydardedeoğlu AE, Cıngı CÇ. Tail-tip necrosis in beef and dairy cattle: A report of seven cases in Ankara. *Kafkas Üniversitesi Veteriner Fakültesi Dergisi*. 2007; 13(2): 203-207.

18. Eyarefe OD, Oguntoye CO. Cosmetic tail docking: an overview of abuse and report of an interesting case. *BMC Vet Res*. 2016; 12(1): 41. doi: [10.1186/s12917-016-0666-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12917-016-0666-z)

19. French N, Wall R, Morgan K. Lamb tail docking: A controlled field study of the effects of tail amputation on health and productivity. *Vet Rec*. 1994; 134: 463-467. doi: [10.1136/vr.134.18.463](https://doi.org/10.1136/vr.134.18.463)

20. Simonsen H, Klincken L, Bindseil E. Histopathology of intact and docked pigtailed. *Br Vet J*. 1991; 147(5): 407-412. doi: [10.1016/0007-1935\(91\)90082-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0007-1935(91)90082-X)

21. Gokdal O, Aygun T, Bingol M, Karaku F. The effects of docking on performance and carcass characteristics of male Karaka lambs. *South African Journal of Animal Science*. 2003; 33(3): 185-192.