

**\*Corresponding author****Arianna Di Stadio, PhD, MD**

Department of Otorhinolaryngology  
Otology Laboratory  
Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary  
(MEEI)  
243 Charles St, Boston  
MA 02114, USA  
E-mail: [ariamadistadio@hotmail.com](mailto:ariamadistadio@hotmail.com)

**Volume 1 : Issue 1****Article Ref. #: 1000OTLOJ1101****Article History****Received:** August 13<sup>th</sup>, 2015**Accepted:** August 13<sup>th</sup>, 2015**Published:** August 17<sup>th</sup>, 2015**Citation**

Di Stadio A. Letter to the Editor. *Otolaryngol Open J.* 2015; 1(1): 1. doi: [10.17140/OTLOJ-1-101](https://doi.org/10.17140/OTLOJ-1-101)

## Letter to the Editor

**Arianna Di Stadio\***

*Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Otology Laboratory, Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary (MEEI), 243 Charles St, Boston, MA 02114, USA*

Dear Editor,

I'm honoured and enthusiastic to be part of Otolaryngology – Open Journal, I'd like to talk to you about my idea of peer review.

We have to be open mind in all topic of Otolaryngology, sure, but we necessity to encourage new research and new researcher.

Too much times, too strict peer review “cut the wings” to new enthusiastic research topic.

In my opinion, we, like reviewers have to be severe in evaluation of research method, statistic evaluation, references and language, but we should maintain open mind always in uncommon topic.

The aim of the research is discovery, discovery something “different or far” from common is the heart of innovation.

The “Linear thinking always” can make blind, sometimes “abstract thinking” can determinate, if the scientific propose is well organized, a brilliant discovery.

My wish, dear Editor, is that our new journal can empathize and discover new research and researchers to give a new push in Otolaryngology world.

**Copyright**

©2015 Di Stadio A. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.