Primary Intra-Abdominal Hypertension and Abdominal Compartment Syndrome: Pathophysiology and Treatment
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ABSTRACT

Abdominal Compartment Syndrome (ACS) is a potentially lethal condition caused by various events that produce intra-abdominal hypertension. The most common cause is blunt abdominal trauma. Increasing intra-abdominal pressure causes progressive hypoperfusion and ischemia of the intestines and other peritoneal and retroperitoneal structures. Pathophysiologic effects include release of cytokines, production of oxygen free radicals, and decreased cellular formation of adenosine triphosphate. These processes may lead to translocation of bacteria from the gut and intestinal edema, predisposing patients to multiorgan dysfunction syndrome. The consequences of abdominal compartment syndrome are profound and affect many vital body systems. Respiratory, hemodynamic, cardiovascular, renal, and neurological abnormalities are signs of abdominal compartment syndrome. Medical management of critically ill patients with raised intra-abdominal pressure should be instigated early to prevent further organ dysfunction and to avoid progression to ACS. Many treatment options are available and are often part of routine daily management in the ICU (nasogastric, rectal tube, prokinetics, enema, sedation, body position). Some of the newer treatments are very promising options in specific patient populations with raised IAP. Nursing care involves vigilant monitoring for early detection, including serial measurements of intra-abdominal pressure.
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DEFINITIONS, INCIDENCE AND MEASUREMENT STRATEGIES IN INTRA-ABDOMINAL HYPERTENSION (IAH) AND ABDOMINAL COMPARTMENT SYNDROME (ACS)

The concept of the Abdominal Compartment Syndrome (ACS) has been rediscovered as a final common pathway of the physiologic sequelae of increased Intra-Abdominal Pressure...
IAP (Intra-abdominal pressure) and Intra-Abdominal Hypertension (IAH). The recent establishment of the World Society of the Abdominal Compartment Syndrome (WSACS: www.wsacs.org) in 2004 and its endorsement by the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine and the Society of Critical Care Medicine has provided the impetus for an international forum for the three World Congresses on ACS to emphasize the importance of this syndrome and its prodrome as distinct clinical entities in surgical and intensive care practice. Part of this acceptance has been a rediscovery of these entities and of their complex pathophysiology and that of surgical decompression since they were originally highlighted in limb compartments by Richard von Volkmann and since Abdominal Compartment Hypertension was suggested by Etienne-Jules Marey who was the first to measure intrathoracic pressures in 1863. These original descriptions of the syndrome were supplemented by direct abdominal pressure measurements in dogs and the effects of IAP on major venous return and cardiac output by Haven Emerson in 1911, and establishment of some of the factors which controlled IAP by Helen Coombs. The term Abdominal Compartment Syndrome was probably used first by Robert Fietsam of Michigan in 1989 following abdominal aortic aneurysm repair.

There is no strict definition of what represents abnormal IAP, but there is a general acceptance that measured pressures >12 mmHg when recorded 1-6 hours apart are considered to represent IAH, where Sugrue, et al. have shown that this represents up to 40% of cases admitted to a surgical Intensive Care Unit (ICU). Although there are biases in the prospective assessment of a selective population admitted to an ICU who actually undergo IAP measurement, there is an inverse correlation between those patients deemed to have IAH who do not have surgical decompression and overall survival. IAP behavior is similar to intracranial pressure (ICP) and there is an exponential correlation between organ dysfunction and IAP. The Monroe-Kellie doctrine would dictate an hyperbolic association between ICP and intracranial volume. This is illustrated in Figure 1 which shows that a distinction can be made between IAH, (a recording without organ dysfunction) and a state where the curve shifts to the left where with organ dysfunction the IAH value becomes clinically more critical. The further assumption that the abdomen behaves somewhat similarly to the cranium as a closed box is clearly not accurate. There are anisotropic variable compliances between the abdominal components, some rigidity in the relatively unyielding nature of the thoracic cage, costal margin, spine and pelvis, variability in the amount and type of soft-tissue intestinal and peritoneal distension and in vivo differences between the hydrostatic effects on abdominal contents and those dictated by Pascal’s Law for in vitro systems.

The effective definition of ACS would then represent

In each case if the graph shifts to the right, higher IAP and lower APP values may not be associated with significant end-organ dysfunction creating a degree of ‘ACS-resistance.’ Shifts to the left would create ‘ACS-sensitivity’ where lower values of IAP (higher APP values) may still be associated with organ dysfunction which might not occur normally. This results in difficulty for broader acceptance of critical IAP and APP levels for individual cases. ACS-sensitivity may potentially occur in patients where there is pre-existing partial end-organ failure, morbid obesity or following fluid hyper-resuscitation in patients with severe burns, haemorrhagic pancreatitis, massive blood loss, widespread intra-peritoneal sepsis and high-output intestinal fistulae.

IAP: Intra-abdominal pressure
APP: Abdominal perfusion pressure

Figure 1: Relationship between organ dysfunction, IAP and APP.
a pathophysiological effect as a consequence of raised IAP characterized by a tense abdomen with elevation in peak airway pressures, inadequate spontaneous ventilation and reduced urine output in combination with a documented improvement in these parameters following abdominal decompression. This stated, that represents pathological IAH in some patients won’t have deleterious effects, in others minor variations in IAP will have significant morbidity and mortality. Definitions will then be consequent upon associated organ failure in individual cases, where other parameters such as falling arterial pH, decreased Cardiac Output (CO), rising serum lactate levels, rising peak airway pressures and falling oxygen delivery indices are secondary to the principal definition but not essential for its diagnosis. The same process will be evident after surgery or secondary event rather than in the context of gradual increases in IAP as may occur in ascites or in morbid obesity. This latter definition describes the syndrome secondary ACS which has been reported in patients without abdominal injury but who develop ACS after aggressive fluid resuscitation. The concept of tertiary ACS is ACS redevelops after prophylactic or therapeutic management of primary or secondary ACS cases. Such a situation may occur following the definitive closure of the abdominal wall after primary ACS or in the utilization of temporary abdominal closure as part of a damage-control laparotomy.

IAH is only a prodrome to a potentially fatal syndrome. Interest in direct IAP measurement has been refreshed during the rise of interventional laparoscopy as well as part of the management of patients undergoing continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis and in patients with intraperitoneal drains which may be readily connected to pressure transducers. Most IAP measurements are made via a urinary catheter as transmitted urine as a pressure transmitting medium as first described for the nasogastric route as originally suggested by Collee, misurations can be made via a gastrostomy tube or gastric tonometry catheter, through an oesophageal balloon catheter or from a perfused rectal or trans-uterine catheter similar to that used for anorectal manometry. These trans-intestinal methods are subject to all the disadvantages encountered in any form of gastrointestinal manometry where there may be extraneous migrating motor complexes and where extra-intestinal compliances and viscoelastic properties of the gut during perfusion and release will create alterations in recorded pressure that may not reflect intra-peritoneal values.

Other techniques have estimated IAP from caval catheters (both superior and inferior) which provides a continuous monitoring like a Central Venous Pressure (CVP) line with all its inherent problems, where there is a correlation with Intra-Vesical Pressures but not with gastric or rectal pressures in animal studies and where superior vena cava pressures poorly correlate with IAP in a ventilated canine model using PEEP variations. Most recently, a range of catheters for placement via the rectal, uterine, vesical or gastric routes have been fitted with microchip transducers for rapid calibration showing a high correlation with other methodologies although there are large variations with this new technique. Another new technique is the Intra-Gastric Pressure (IGP) monitoring through a Gastro PV (Holtech Medical, Charlottenlund, Denmark). Advantages are potentially large: we can compare Intra-Abdominal Pressure (IAP) values from IGP and Intra-Bladder Pressure (IBP) to study the upper abdominal compartment in particular and to compare with the lower ab-dominal compartment. The Gastro PV technique reduces the nursing manipulations to measure the Gastric Residual Volume (GRV) and allows more frequent GRV measurements to anticipate possible GRV increases, with a potential to prevent Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia (VAP). An easier method to measure GRV reduces the nursing workload and allows more time to be spent on other activities. Measurement of IGP does not carry a potential risk for urinary tract infections. The cost analysis shows the Gastro PV to be cost-effective, in particular for those cases with large amounts of GRV.

Somewhat akin to the parameter Cerebral Perfusion Pressure (CPP), Cheatham and colleagues have suggested utilization of the parameter Abdominal Perfusion Pressure (APP) where [APP=Mean arterial BP-IAP] as a more reliable guide for the diagnosis of ACS and as part of its management algorithm. In utilization of the APP, Cheatham, et al. performed a retrospective analysis of patients admitted to a surgical ICU with IAH who underwent IAP monitoring using an intra-vesical method where there was a liberal unit policy towards abdominal decompression. In this study, logistic regression analysis for a patient group with 53% overall mortality showed IAP, MABP,
APP, arterial lactate, arterial pH, base deficit and urine output to all correlate with patient survival when IAH was present, with APP and arterial lactate being the most significant survival-related variables. Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curves generated for both IAP and APP show great similarity although the best APP threshold has proven to be about 50 mm Hg with moderate sensitivity (76%) and a lower specificity (57%) for APP as a predictor for patient survival under these conditions. These results have better ROC features for survival than the use of MABP alone and these findings have been confirmed initially in a small prospective analysis of 8 patients by Malbrain, et al.\(^\text{60}\) designed to evaluate the clinical validity of APP as an end-point and then subsequently in a large mixed ICU cohort.\(^\text{24}\) In this latter study, APP was significantly lower in non-survivors where an APP of 60 mmHg had a sensitivity of 55% and a specificity of 76% for predicting survival performing better than IAP in more commonly encountered pressure ranges. It is currently unknown whether APP may have some general value as a critical end-point as the total numbers of IAH patients in this large study were only 18% and ACS was defined in only 2% of cases. These findings have also been confirmed by both the Critically Ill and Abdominal Hypertension (CIAH) and the Critically Ill Renal Failure and Abdominal Hypertension (CIRFAH) multicentre study groups.\(^\text{60}\) In the latter study, outcome did not vary in those patients with designated IAH although non-survivors had a higher overall IAP and a lower APP after day 3, suggesting that sustained increases in IAP of continuously monitored patients, where the overall period of time spent above a critical IAP threshold may be more important to outcome than individual IAP measurements. Clearly, both clinical standardization and validation of IAP measurement methodology (and parameter decision, namely IAP vs. APP) are essential in an effort to define the incidence and severity of IAH for use as a management-decision parameter.

**PATHOPHYSIOLOGIC EFFECTS OF INTRA-ABDOMINAL HYPERTENSION**

Raised IAP has a deleterious effect on respiratory mechanics, the cardiovascular system, liver and renal function and has an interrelationship with intracranial pressure and the splanchnic circulation.

**RESPIRATORY SYSTEM DYNAMICS**

Much of the understanding on pulmonary derangements during IAH have been studied in the pneumoperitoneum induced by laparoscopy where there is a diminution of functional residual capacity and pulmonary compliance and an increase in lung and chest wall impedance that mirrors the extent of the IAP rise.\(^\text{61,62}\) Although most of these changes are eminently reversible,\(^\text{63}\) diaphragmatic function can remain significantly impaired for some time after relief of the pneumoperitoneum.\(^\text{64}\) In ICU patients, the pulmonary effects of IAH will be complicated by ventilation (and its mode), patient position, sedation or the use of neuromuscular blocking agents, atelectasis, ARDS, infection, massive volume infusion, traumatic lung injury and PEEP; some of which will contribute to a diminution in lung compliance, alveolar de-recruitment and poor gas exchange.\(^\text{65}\)

These effects are all exaggerated in ACS, with diaphragmatic elevation compressing basal lung segments, reduction in alveolar dead space and Ventilation/Perfusion (V/Q) mismatch.\(^\text{66}\) Pressures transmitted to the thoracic cage reduce total lung capacity with the principal reduction in compliance resulting from altered chest wall compliance without a definitive effect on the lungs.\(^\text{67}\) Exact interpretations of these changes in compliance are dependent upon the techniques used to measure intra-thoracic pressure and the methodology of lung volume estimates.\(^\text{68}\) The changes occurring in elevated IAP are exaggerations of those induced by general anaesthesia, where atelectasis is promoted but where there are no specific elastic or resistive changes in chest wall properties.\(^\text{69}\) In ACS, there is actually an increase in chest wall elastance which for a given applied airway pressure results in a lower distending force of the lung, the trans-pulmonary pressure (i.e. P\(_{\text{alveolar}}\) - P\(_{\text{pleural}}\)). This is accompanied by a higher pleural pressure with less lung distension,\(^\text{70,71}\) a decreased respiratory system compliance and a rightward shift of the compliance (Pressure/Volume) curve.\(^\text{72}\) In ventilated patients with IAH, the diaphragm moves upwards statically causing lower lobe compression; an effect which is exaggerated if the patient is obese.\(^\text{73,74}\) This effect on Functional residual capacity of the lung (FRC) is offset by abdominal decompression and PEEP which both recruit lung volume and increase the PaO\(_2]/FiO\(_2\) ratio thereby reducing the alveolar/arterial O\(_2\) pressure differential.\(^\text{75,76}\)

This condition is associated, in animal models, with pulmonary oedema, depressed trans-thoracic lymphatic drainage, high-grade atelectasis and increases in both pulmonary neutrophil infiltrates and extra-vascular lung water content, correlating directly with the level of IAH.\(^\text{77}\) These specific effects of IAH are all reversible with abdominal decompression. The clinical implications of these changes suggest that patients with IAH may develop a secondary ARDS pattern with a cytokine profile and bacterial translocation propensity which creates a ventilator-induced lung change resembling primary ARDS.\(^\text{78,79}\) The ventilator strategy in these patients is specific and distinct from that of an ARDS case where the reduction in FRC and the trend towards pulmonary oedema suggests a role for a greater utilization of muscle relaxation and higher PEEP values despite its risk for barotrauma,\(^\text{80}\) keeping alveoli recruited and open with permissive hypercapnia, a restricted tidal volume and peak inspiratory pressure that more readily permits weaning.\(^\text{81,82}\) In summary the pulmonary effects of IAH are complex with diaphragmatic elevation increasing intra-thoracic and pleural pressure causing a reduction in FRC and all lung volumes commensurate with a restrictive lung deficit combined with basal compression atelectasis, with increases in mean, plateau and peak airway pressures and pulmonary vascular resistance. Static and dynamic chest wall (but not lung) compliance is reduced with hypoxia and hy-
percapnia, reduced oxygen transport, increased dead space ventilation and shunting, alveolar oedema and V/Q mismatch.

CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM EFFECTS

In patients with the IAH/ACS complex the causes of cardiac depression are multi-factorial where there is commonly an overlay of haemorrhagic shock, systemic inflammatory response syndrome and the cardiac effects of mechanical ventilation. The principal effect of IAH on the heart is a reduction of pre-load through venous compression and reduced venous return which acts in a pressure-dependent manner. This effect is noted in humans during higher pressure laparoscopy where MAP reductions are fluid responsive. This is exaggerated in hypovolaemic patients and those with limited myocardial reserve where further evidence of reduced pre-load is shown by an increase in femoral vein pressures through peripheral venous pooling with reduced femoral blood flow and pulsatility, increasing the risk in these patients for deep venous thrombosis. This is reinforced in studies which have shown that a fluid challenge before the induction of pneumoperitoneum causes an increase intra-thoracic blood volume as part of an auto-transfusion so that unchanged values actually reflect relative hypovolaemia during IAH.

Cardiac contractility is directly affected by increases of intra-thoracic pressure creating a combination of reduced right ventricular pre-load with increased pulmonary vascular resistance and after-load. These effects may be worsened by excessive fluid resuscitation increasing right ventricular myocardial work and leading to right ventricular infarction. The septal deviation and altered ventricular geometry induced by right ventricular overload will also impair left ventricular end-diastolic volume. Systemic Vascular Resistance (SVR) generally rises in IAH consequent upon an increase in intra-thoracic pressure and through direct compressive effects on the aorta, the systemic vasculature and pulmonary radicals. The initial reductions in stroke volume during the early phases of IAH are compensated by a concomitant rise in SVR which leaves the CO normalized, however, this state may be disabled by the utilization in the ventilated patient of high PEEP levels and consequent right heart failure.

In this setting, the concerns about the complications of invasive monitoring methods have resurfaced particularly since in IAH the utilization of pressure monitoring to define volumetric analyses is suspect; an effect exaggerated by PEEP where the CVP and PAOP values are both falsely elevated. This has the potential (along with catheter malplacement in a squeezed lung zone) to lead to under-resuscitation if these invasive values are relied upon in IAH cases. These difficulties in IAH and a lack of availability of RVEDVI catheters has placed a greater reliance on semi-quantitative bedside echocardiographic estimations of left ventricular filling in these patients as well as a direct assessment of parameters predictive of fluid challenge responsiveness such as caval diameter, peak aortic flow velocity or the broad demonstration of right ventricular dilatation and dyskinesia and increased left ventricular wall stress.

RENAI N FUNCTION IN INTRA-ABDOMINAL HYPERTENSION

Renal dysfunction is one of the definitive features of the IAH/ACS complex with an independently specific association between renal failure (and mortality with renal failure) and hypotension, age>60 years, sepsis and IAH when patients are admitted to ICU following abdominal surgery. The aetiology of renal failure in IAH is unknown but probably multi-factorial including an overall decreased CO, reduced renal perfusion pressure and arterial flow, increased venous pressure and vascular resistance, enhanced cortico-medullary shunting (with consequent reduced GFR) and renal parenchymal and ureteric compression. This is associated with an increase in ADH production and stimulation of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone mechanism which is not ameliorated by fluid resuscitation except in the rat. The concept of impaired Renal Perfusion Pressure (RPP) is akin to that of APP and CPP previously mentioned although there is no evidence that restoration of MAP (where RPP=MABP-IAP) prevents the development of renal failure in these patients. Ulyatt has suggested that the more important parameter is the glomerular filtration gradient (i.e. PGLomerular filtration – PProximal Tubule) which reflects the force across the glomerulus, where in ACS proximal tubular pressure approaches the IAP value and where effectively the glomerular filtration pressure=MABP-IAP. This would suggest that changes in IAP create a unique renal sensitivity over and above changes in the MAP. The renal structure implies a specific vulnerability to the effects of IAH where RPP also is significantly reduced when venous pressure is elevated in animal banding studies even in the face of a normal MAP and CO level. There are no consistent studies which show that abdominal decompression will reverse these renal effects of IAH although there is a trend for post-decompressive diuresis in most studies. The natural history of renal failure in this setting is ameliorated by the early institution of continuous veno-venous haemo-filtration with IAH and with some evidence suggesting an advantage of continuous rather than intermittent renal replacement therapy. This may on occasion not be an option in patients with hypotensive unstable ACS and associated Multi-organ failure syndrome (MOFS) plus coagulopathy.

SPLANCHNIC PERFUSION AND INTRA-ABDOMINAL HYPERTENSION

Intra-abdominal hypertension results in a steady reduction in mesenteric and mucosal blood flow with consequent metabolic acidosis that is disproportionate to the associated CO reduction. These effects are combined with a commensurate reduction in hepatic arterial and microvascular blood flow, an effect which is reversible after normalization of IAP. These changes in mesenteric vascular resistance are in some studies
reversible by low-dose Dobutamine\textsuperscript{123} and are synergistically aggravated by concomitant hypovolaemia/resuscitation cycling in animal models of IAH.\textsuperscript{124,125} These effects are followed by bacterial translocation\textsuperscript{126,127} although this is not in animal studies exacerbated by concomitant IAH.\textsuperscript{128} The importance of mucosal splanchic ischaemia is in its integral role in the genesis of MOFS partly through enhanced bacterial translocation where the effect of IAH/ACS is a ‘second-hit’ after initial hypovolaemic shock in these patients.\textsuperscript{129,130} This has been shown in animal models submitted to haemorrhagic shock with and without sustained increases in IAP where the combination resulted in greater acute phase pro-inflammatory cytokinaemic responses and lung neutrophil activation, provided that they were timed to stimulate neutrophil priming.\textsuperscript{131} These changes are not, however, ameliorated by abdominal decompression.\textsuperscript{132}

The effect of IAH on the liver is well documented but poorly understood. It is likely that part of the liver damage is the result of the impairment in mucosal barrier function\textsuperscript{133,134} but there is also an alteration in hepatic arterial and venous blood flow which is locally pressure-dependent\textsuperscript{135} as well as the global reduction of cardiac output. This has been supplemented by an observed alteration in hepatic mitochondrial function, unexplained unexplained liver enzyme changes and a pressure-related Budd-Chiari-like effect in IAH.\textsuperscript{136-139} although others have been unable to demonstrate a clear association between hepatic function and IAP in mixed ICU populations.\textsuperscript{140} Some of this data has been extrapolated from those patients experience liver trauma, intra-abdominal packing and sepsis\textsuperscript{141} as well as some of the changes observed in IAP following liver transplantation; both of which are not strictly comparable to the changes observed as part of IAH/ACS syndromes alone.\textsuperscript{142} What is evident, however, is that conventional pressure-related parameters for intravascular volume resuscitation assessment (such as CVP, PCWP, RVEDV) are inaccurate as estimates for management with the need for more sophisticated volumetric methodology not generally available at the bedside using modified pulmonary artery catheters equipped with fast-response thermistors or intra-thoracic blood volume indices designed to calculate stroke volume variation as more dynamic markers of pre-load assessment in these complicated cases.\textsuperscript{85,143-146}

MISCELLANEOUS PATHOPHYSIOLOGY AND INTRA-ABDOMINAL HYPERTENSION

There is considerable evidence showing in both animal\textsuperscript{147-151} and human\textsuperscript{152} studies that there is a direct relationship between steadily increasing IAP and rising Intra-cranial pressure (ICP) as well as a coincident fall in Cerebral Perfusion Pressure (CPP); effects which are mechanical in nature in accordance with the Monroe-Kellie Doctrine through increases in jugular venous and sagittal sinus pressure. This is potentially relieved by abdominal decompression as well as by central volume expansion and PEEP ventilation.\textsuperscript{153} The dynamics of the effect of IAP on ICP are different if there is a concomitant traumatic or non-traumatic brain injury,\textsuperscript{154} although in patients with brain trauma and IAH, a more liberal approach towards decompressive laporotomy and a more judicious delay in closure of the open abdomen when it is employed is indicated.\textsuperscript{155,156}

MANAGING IAH AND ACS

Part of the management of this syndrome is its early recognition and prevention. Those at risk include situations of shock with massive or supranormal volumes of fluid resuscitation on a background of abdominopelvic injury, widespread peritonitis and excessive abdominal wall tension occasioned by a tight abdominal closure. This may be exacerbated by difficulty in ventilation, coagulopathy and pre-existing disease such as hepatic cirrhosis. In multivariate analysis of ICU patients with ACS although there is a clustering of higher acute physiological scoring and MOFS, the 24-hour fluid balance and the peak airway pressures are most predictive of full-blown ACS.\textsuperscript{157} Regular IAP assessment will alert the clinician to impending IAH, combined with a policy preventing overaggressive fluid resuscitation,\textsuperscript{158,159} particularly in those with a prior cardiac or pulmonary history and in marked obesity.\textsuperscript{160}

MEDICAL MANAGEMENT

The medical approach to assist with limiting IAP includes neuromuscular blockade, often used in combination with abdominal decompression.\textsuperscript{161,162} The IAP may in some patients be diminished by abdominal paracentesis particularly in IAH associated with severe burns,\textsuperscript{163} although in chronic ascites this can lead to a well recognized circulatory collapse.\textsuperscript{164-166} This approach has been supported in one human study of the continuous negative extra-abdominal pressure (NEXAP) device\textsuperscript{167} where previous animal studies have shown no reduction in IAP for IAH cases but where there has been an IAP reduction when its commencement value was normal.\textsuperscript{168} The effects on respiratory dynamics of the NEXAP device are complicated and partially counterproductive. In their human study, Valenza and Gattinoni showed a slight NEXAP-induced reduction of CVP, shifting blood from the intra-thoracic compartment\textsuperscript{169} similar to devices which have been used extra-thoracically. This has been coupled with an insignificant increase in functional lung volume in animal studies although this effect is counterbalanced by a slight reduction in chest wall compliance and a commensurate increase in pleural pressure imposed by the device. Octréotide,\textsuperscript{170,171} me- latonin\textsuperscript{172} and high-dose diuretics in haemodynamically stable patients can reduce IAP with an unproven value of early dialysis and ultra-filtration, each of which is administered often with relatively high-dose inotropic support.\textsuperscript{173} These approaches may be supplemented in the absence of prospective randomized data with gastric suctioning,\textsuperscript{174} enemata, concentrated albumin,\textsuperscript{175} gastric pro-kinetic therapy and colo-pro-kinetic administration but there are no proven advantages of these medical therapies.

Besides studies have shown that IAP in the semi-recumbent position increases in relation to Head of bed elevation (HOB).\textsuperscript{176} Cheatham, et al.\textsuperscript{177} demonstrated in a multicentre trial
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of 132 ventilated patients that IAP increased by 1.5 and 3.6 mm Hg when patients were placed in the semi-recumbent position at 15º and 30º HOB respectively. However, these differences were less obvious in patients with IAP>20 mm Hg. A more recent study by Yi, et al. showed an increase in IAP by 4.1 mm Hg among 88 patients in the semi-recumbent position at 30º HOB. Pressure differences between the supine and HOB at 30º in other trials ranged between 0.41 and 5 mm Hg, with a higher range at HOB 45º (2.7-14.9 mm Hg). Clinicians should be aware of this pressure increase when changing body position, especially in patients with impending ACS, although other factors like body anthropomorphy may also play a role.

**SURGICAL DECOMPRESSION**

The increasing use of ‘damage-control’ laparotomy provides some experience of the open abdomen as well as Temporary Abdominal Closure, (TAC) providing easier access for monitoring bowel viability, the possibility of repeat pancreatic necrosectomy and the ready potential for debridement in cases of necrotizing fasciitis. This approach, however, provides a considerable risk for fistula formation and intra-abdominal sepsis as well as creating difficulties in fluid management and nursing. Generous midline releases are favoured as they do not result in denervation or devascularization permitting later local flap mobilizations if needed. The presence of the open abdomen does not, however, preclude the monitoring of IAP since a secondary ACS may still occur. The physiological consequences of decompression have been little studied since there is less of a tendency to follow IAP after this surgery. Many of the aberrant parameters return towards normal but do not normalize, such as the mean PaO2/Fio2 ratio, however, there are no prospective studies assessing objective serial scoring of organ dysfunction through decompressive laparotomy despite reported improvements in peak inspiratory pressures, MABP and urine output which themselves may not be the best markers for individual organ function.

Variations in the technique for TAC management are evolving and depend upon available materials and experience as well as an operative decision concerning the likelihood of early closure or re-exploration. The techniques of towel-clip closure and the Bogota bag are now fairly obsolete. Currently, a passive ‘sandwich’ pack dressing is more commonly recommended or where available, a Wittman Dynamic Patch TM (Star Surgical Inc, Wisconsin USA) or Abra dynamic closure system (Canica design, Ontario CA) may be used permitting patch advancement at the bedside. The negative pressure vacuum-VAC closure device (KCI International, San Antonio TX) has had considerable recent success as a first-up method of open wound management with selected alternatives including temporary absorbable and non-absorbable forms of mesh, silos and ‘zipper-style’ techniques.

The sandwich suction dressing is the easiest and cheapest method to employ being a forerunner of the VAC technique with placement of large adhesive drapes into the paracolic gutter under suction drains. This simple dressing is effective, containing the abdominal contents with minimal fluid leakage and is non-contributory either to worsening IAP or the early development of adhesions. It prevents skin maceration or blistering allowing some give with underlying peristalsis (as opposed to fascially fixed techniques) and permitting rapid access for repeat laparotomy. Moreover, if IAH is developing in its presence, the outer adhesive can be split and then reapplied without disturbing the internal arrangement. A range of meshes have been advocated for this circumstance although these have numerous disadvantages principally with sepsis, a lack of water-tightness and some degree of incorporation into the wound over time. Goretex (Polytetrafluoroethylene, Gore & Assoc, Flagstaff, AZ), Vicryl (Polyglactin, Ethicon), Dexon (Polyglycolic acid, Davis & Geck) and Polypolypropylene (Marlex, Bard, Prolene, Ethicon or Surgipro US Surgical, Norwalk, CT) are all alternatives which have been used. These may still be associated with ACS after placement and some cases may need mesh replacement or further decompression after deployment. The absorbable meshes have little tensile strength and can result in evisceration during patient turning with the non-absorbables being associated with a moderate rate of intestinal fistula.

The further advantages of the VAC proprietary devices over temporary mesh usage include their versatility to the contour of large defects permitting the utilization of a laterally placed stoma in conjunction and potential use even in the presence of a pre-existing intestinal fistula. Where possible, stomas should be avoided as the geography of the abdominal wall may change in such a way that they retract significantly or where in a delayed setting they can compromise abdominal wall reconstruction and rectus advancements. Where possible, enteral nutrition in patients with an open abdomen should be utilized and may be continued in the majority after abdominal wall closure. A protocolized approach to the use of the VAC device in such patients has provided a high early secondary closure rate, with some 88% of patients being able to be closed between 9-21 days after initial decompression. This compares with earlier reports with standard techniques of less than 50% secondary closure rates where open wounds were eventually skin grafted and where there was a moderate incidence of delayed difficult hernia repair. These modalities can be combined when there is excessive bowel wall oedema precluding early fascial closure so that decisions regarding either primary VAC closure (for example in the absence of a nearby intestinal anastomosis) or absorbable mesh can be selectively made. The likelihood of early closure using this protocol is, however, affected by the presence of extra-abdominal sepsis, in particular ventilator-related pneumonia, blood stream infection and other surgical site sepsis in these patients.

**DELAYED ABDOMINAL WALL RECONSTRUCTION**

There is no optimal management of the open abdomen which is capable of providing total protection of the abdomi-
nal viscera from dessication and adhesions and which supports easy delayed fascial closure. Significant delays in secondary closure which may occur for a range of complex extra-abdominal reasons may result in loss of abdominal domain for gut repositioning and have resulted in a variety of approaches including prosthetic mesh insertion, tissue expansion, local abdominal wall and free flap techniques and component separation methods. Equally, local effects may preclude early direct approximation including ongoing haemorrhage, coagulopathy, intraperitoneal and retroperitoneal sepsis or bowel wall oedema. The simplest approach is delayed primary wound approximation although this is dependent upon feasibility issues to provide the best muscle function capable of supporting movement, coughing, defaecation and micturition as well as providing an acceptable cosmetic result and psychological outcome.

This approach can be partial and equally assisted by mesh split skin grafting or with VAC assistance as part of a combination therapy where further reconstructive surgery may leave the skin in place following initial de-Epithelialization. The insertion of mesh, acellular human dermis substitutes or composites can be supported by inter-muscular insertion of a temporary tissue expander although this usually requires repeated revision. Advancement of the rectus muscles for approximation may be facilitated by components separation where the external oblique aponeurosis and the internal rectus fascia are incised and separated so that large defects above the umbilicus (up to 10 cm), at the umbilicus (up to 20 cm) and below the umbilicus (up to 6 cm) can effectively be closed. This technique has been reported to suffer from considerable wound-related complications which have been reduced by endoscopic-assisted and periumbilical perforating vessel-preserving techniques. Decisions regarding these techniques can be informed by intra-operative monitoring including intra-vesical pressure measurement. The abdominal wall lends itself to random pattern flaps such as those used normally in abdominoplasty when the defect is infra-umbilical or axial pattern flaps including groin, rectus abdominis, tensor fasciae latae, external oblique fascio-and myocutaneous flaps as well as free tissue transfers. A suggested algorithm for the management of IAH/ACS and its aftermath are shown in Figure 2.

Medical management of critically ill patients with raised IAP should be instigated early to prevent further organ dysfunction and to avoid progression to ACS. Many treatment options are available and are often part of routine daily management in the ICU (nasogastric, rectal tube, prokinetics, enema, sedation, body position). Some of the newer treatments such as tPA-assisted decompression of a haematoma, theophylline infusions to reduce circulating adenosine concentrations, octreotide as a reperfusion injury-limiting agent, and CNAP to reduce IAP, are all very promising treatment options in specific

---

*Medical therapy consists of selective use of sedation, neuromuscular blockade, nasogastric suction, gastro (colo) pro-kinetic therapy, enteral feeding, abdominal paracentesis and haemodialysis/ultrafiltration

Temporary abdominal closure may consist of Bogota bag, sandwich-packing, VAC-assisted devices or dynamic commercial closure systems (see text)

Delayed definitive abdominal closure includes mesh utilization, abdominal components separation, tissue expansion, local axial pattern myo(fascio)cutaneous flaps or free flaps (see text)

Figure 2: A suggested algorithm for the management of IAH/ACS and its aftermath.
patient populations (ruptured triple A, pancreatitis) with raised IAP. Future studies are warranted to confirm some of these findings.176

CONCLUSIONS

The relatively recent recognition of the IAS/ACS complex has resulted in a profusion of animal and human work on its pathophysiology. Many of the features of the syndrome are still unknown and require a connection with the possibility of the diagnosis, with a routine IAP measurement, (most likely in high-risk cases by continuous technology) and a more liberal policy towards surgical decompression. This view would allow a less prolonged ventilatory, cardiac and renal support and is based on an improved management of the open abdomen and its consequences. Measurement techniques of the IAP and consensus concerning what levels are abnormal are needed, as well as prospective ICU-framed studies, to better assess circulatory filling status utilizing volumetric-modified pulmonary artery catheters and which more accurately define end-organ perfusion and dysfunction. This will be supported by better ‘pathological’ animal models which more directly address the capillary leakage syndrome as part of ACS, which separate the effects of decompression and volume restoration and which distinguish organ from global resuscitation.
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